



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>

Letters to the Editor

enhancing the growth of altered cholangiocytes and leading to increased CCa susceptibility [8,9].

These case reports suggest a need for prospective follow-up of patients with *MDR3* mutations, in order to identify the CCa risk. It would probably also be interesting to look for an *MDR3* mutation in young patients with CCa, especially if there exists a familial history of CCa or biliary disease.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared that they do not have anything to disclose regarding funding or conflict of interest with respect to this manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Jeffrey Arsham for editing the manuscript. The authors thank Valerie Paradis, Dominique Valla, Jacques Belghiti and Christine Silvain for their contributions to this work.

References

- [1] van Helvoort A, Smith AJ, Sprong H, Fritzsche I, Schinkel AH, Borst P, et al. MDR1 P-glycoprotein is a lipid translocase of broad specificity, while MDR3 P-glycoprotein specifically translocates phosphatidylcholine. *Cell* 1996;87:507–517.
- [2] Davit-Spraul A, Gonzales E, Baussan C, Jacquemin E. The spectrum of liver diseases related to ABCB4 gene mutations: pathophysiology and clinical aspects. *Semin Liver Dis* 2010;30:134–146.
- [3] Jacquemin E. Role of multidrug resistance 3 deficiency in pediatric and adult liver disease: one gene for three diseases. *Semin Liver Dis* 2001;21:551–562.
- [4] Tyson GL, El-Serag HB. Risk factors of cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology* 2011;54:173–184.
- [5] Cardinale V, Semeraro R, Torrice A, Gatto M, Napoli C, Bragazzi MC, et al. Intra-hepatic and extra-hepatic cholangiocarcinoma: new insight into epidemiology and risk factors. *World J Gastrointest Oncol* 2010;2:407–416.
- [6] Scheimann AO, Strautnieks SS, Knisely AS, Byrne JA, Thompson RJ, Finegold MJ. Mutations in bile salt export pump (ABCB11) in two children with progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis and cholangiocarcinoma. *J Pediatr* 2007;150:556–559.
- [7] Trauner M, Fickert P, Halilbasic E, Moustafa T. Lessons from the toxic bile concept for the pathogenesis and treatment of cholestatic liver diseases. *Wien Med Wochenschr* 2008;158:542–548.
- [8] Wise C, Pihanthanond M, Perry BF, Alpini G, McNeal M, Glaser SS. Mechanisms of biliary carcinogenesis and growth. *World J Gastroenterol* 2008;14:2986–2989.
- [9] Jaiswal M, LaRusso NF, Burgart LJ, Gores GJ. Inflammatory cytokines induce DNA damage and inhibit DNA repair in cholangiocarcinoma cells by a nitric oxide-dependent mechanism. *Cancer Res* 2000;60:184–190.

David Tougeron*

Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology,
Poitiers University Hospital, Poitiers, France
Laboratoire Inflammation Tissus Epithéliaux et Cytokines,
EA 4331, University of Poitiers, Poitiers, France

*Corresponding author. Address: Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Poitiers University Hospital, 2 rue de la Milétrie, 86000 Poitiers Cedex, France
Tel.: +33 5 49 44 37 51; fax: +33 5 49 44 38 35
E-mail address: davidtougeron@hotmail.fr

Ginette Fotsing

Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology,
Poitiers University Hospital, Poitiers, France

Veronique Barbu

AP-HP, LCBGM, Saint-Antoine Hospital, UPMC Univ Paris 06 & INSERM, UMR_S 938, CdR Saint-Antoine, Paris, France

Michel Beauchant

Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology,
Poitiers University Hospital, Poitiers, France

The optimal dose of omega-3 supplementation for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

To the Editor:

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a burgeoning health problem that affects one-third of adults and an increasing number of children in developed countries [1]. It was previously reported that various therapeutic regimens can be adopted for NAFLD [2], including weight loss agents, bariatric surgery, insulin-sensitizing agents, lipid-lowering agents, antioxidants, and other novel compounds. However, there is no consensus on its treatment. Both lifestyle therapy and pharmacotherapy have limitations due to poor compliance and side effects. Therefore, new therapeutic approaches to managing NAFLD are urgently needed.

We read with interest the study by Parker *et al.* [3]. This is the first meta-analysis to investigate the effect of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) on liver fat in humans. The authors found that omega-3 PUFA could decrease liver fat and that benefits were seen with a consumption of >0.83 g/day. The results are instructive for the therapeutic regimen of NAFLD; however, we have some concerns over the optimal dose mentioned in the article.

Given the complications of NAFLD, especially cardiovascular disease (CVD), the optimal dose of omega-3 PUFA should be much higher than 0.83 g/day. Targher *et al.* revealed a strong association between NAFLD and CVD risk by reviewing accumulating clinical evidence [4]. Previous evidence suggests that CVD dictates the outcome in patients with NAFLD more frequently and to a greater extent than does the progression of liver disease, and CVD is the most important cause of death in NAFLD patients [5]. On the other hand, many investigators have demonstrated that omega-3 PUFA could prevent CVD [6]. In a large-scale intervention trial of secondary prevention after myocardial infarction, GISSI-Prevenzione investigators identified a substantial reduction in all-cause and cardiovascular mortality with 1 g per day of n-3 PUFA supplementation [7]. In addition, McKenney *et al.* recommend n-3 PUFA supplementation at a dose of 2–4 g per day to patients with high triglyceride concentrations [8]. At present, Saravanan *et al.* found that omega-3 PUFA could act as beneficial pleiotropic agents to prevent CVD, by conducting a review of numerous clinical trials [9]. As fish is rich in omega-3 PUFA, the

Table 1. Risk of side effects from ingestion of omega-3 PUFA [6].

	Gastrointestinal upset	Clinical bleeding	Fishy aftertaste	Worsening glycemia ^a	Rise in LDL-C ^b
Up to 1 g/d	Very low	Very low	Low	Very low	Very low
1 to 3 g/d	Moderate	Very low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
>3 g/d	Moderate	Low	Likely	Moderate	Likely

LDL-C, low density lipoprotein-cholesterol.

^aUsually only in patients with impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes.

^bUsually only in patients with hypertriglyceridemia.

American Heart Association recommends at least two fish meals per week to healthy people, and a supplemental therapy with 1 g of omega-3 PUFA per day to those with myocardial infarction [6]. Omega-3 PUFA may treat NAFLD and CVD simultaneously, killing two birds with one stone [10].

In this review, the average dose of omega-3 PUFA was 4 g/day (range: 0.8–13.7 g/day), and the authors found no reports of adverse effects of omega-3 PUFA supplementation in the studies reviewed. We should be cautious about this conclusion, because side effects of omega-3 PUFA supplementation do exist (Table 1).

We agree to adopt therapeutic regimen for NAFLD. However, we sincerely hope that the researchers take the CVD risk and the side effects of omega-3 PUFA in NAFLD into account when they determine the optimal dose of omega-3 PUFA. Because omega-3 PUFA could treat NAFLD and CVD, it is promising to consider that the optimal dose of omega-3 PUFA could be applied for NAFLD associated risk of CVD. Of course, the hypothesis needs to be validated by well-designed randomized controlled trials.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared that they do not have anything to disclose regarding conflict of interest with respect to this letter.

References

- [1] Cohen JC, Horton JD, Hobbs HH. Human fatty liver disease: old questions and new insights. *Science* 2011;332:1519–1523.

- [2] Lam B, Younossi ZM. Treatment options for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 2010;3:121–137.
- [3] Parker HM, Johnson NA, Burdon CA, Cohn JS, Connor HT, George J. Omega-3 supplementation and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Hepatol* 2012;56:944–951.
- [4] Targher G, Day CP, Bonora E. Risk of cardiovascular disease in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:1341–1350.
- [5] Targher G, Marra F, Marchesini G. Increased risk of cardiovascular disease in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: causal effect or epiphenomenon? *Diabetologia* 2008;51:1947–1953.
- [6] Kris-Etherton PM, Harris WS, Appel LJ. Fish consumption, fish oil, omega-3 fatty acids, and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation* 2002;106:2747–2757.
- [7] GISSI-Prevenzione Investigators (Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'Infarto miocardico). Dietary supplementation with n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and vitamin E after myocardial infarction: results of the GISSI-Prevenzione trial. *Lancet* 1999;354:447–455.
- [8] McKenney JM, Sica D. Role of prescription omega-3 fatty acids in the treatment of hypertriglyceridemia. *Pharmacotherapy* 2007;27:715–728.
- [9] Saravanan P, Davidson NC, Schmidt EB, Calder PC. Cardiovascular effects of marine omega-3 fatty acids. *Lancet* 2010;376:540–550.
- [10] Masterton GS, Plevris JN, Hayes PC. Review article: omega-3 fatty acids—a promising novel therapy for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2010;31:679–692.

Yan Li

Dongfeng Chen*

Department of Gastroenterology, Institute of Surgery Research, Daping Hospital, Third Military Medical University, 10 Changjiang Zhilu, Da Ping, Chongqing, China

*Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 23 6875 7741

E-mail addresses: liyan.free@163.com,

chendf1981@126.com

Reply to: “The optimal dose of omega-3 supplementation for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease”

To the Editor:

We read with interest the letter by Drs. Li and Chen regarding our systematic review and meta-analysis of omega-3 supplementation in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) [1]. We showed that, when compared with a control therapy, dietary omega-3 supplementation led to beneficial changes in liver fat in adults. On the basis of the pooled results of studies, which employed omega-3 supplementation of 0.83–13.7 g per day, we observed a statistically significant benefit on liver fat, which persisted even when only randomized controlled trials were examined.

Due to the strong association between NAFLD and cardiovascular disease (CVD), the importance of CVD to mortality in patients with NAFLD, and the benefit of omega-3 supplementation in CVD risk reduction, Li and Chen suggest that the optimal

dose of omega-3 should be higher than 0.83 g per day. We point out that pooling of data by meta-analysis is a useful tool for objectively evaluating the consensus of a therapy such as omega-3 supplementation (vs. a suitable control). However, the heterogeneity and relative paucity of data entail that these results should not be used to inform clinical recommendations on issues such as optimal dosage in NAFLD. Using the data from trials in cardiovascular disease of omega-3 supplementation to recommend a particular omega-3 dose for NAFLD would be an inference, not supported by the NAFLD data we have presented. As stated in our manuscript, more data from randomized controlled trials are required for this. While our meta-analysis substantiates the efficacy of omega-3 supplementation for modifying liver fat *per se*, the choice of dose in an individual with